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#### Abstract

Calculations performed at the ab initio level using the recently reported planar concentric $\pi$-aromatic $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ [Chen Q et al. (2011) Phys Chem Chem Phys 13:20620] as a building block suggest the possible existence of a new class of $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ polycyclic aromatic hydroboron (PAHB) clusters$\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2}), \mathrm{B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}(3), \mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(4 / 5), \mathrm{B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}(6)$, and $\mathrm{B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}(7)$-which appear to be the inorganic analogs of the corresponding $\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHC) molecules naphthalene $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, phenalenyl anion $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$, phenanthrene/anthracene $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, pyrene $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and coronene $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, respectively, in a universal atomic ratio of $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{C}=3: 1$. Detailed canonical molecular orbital (CMO), adaptive natural density partitioning (AdNDP), and electron localization function (ELF) analyses indicate that, as they are hydrogenated fragments of a boron snub sheet [Zope RR, Baruah T (2010) Chem Phys Lett 501:193], these PAHB clusters are aromatic in nature, and exhibit the formation of islands of both $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-aromaticity. The predicted ionization potentials of PAHB neutrals and electron detachment energies of small PAHB monoanions should permit them to be
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[^0]characterized experimentally in the future. The results obtained in this work expand the domain of planar boronbased clusters to a region well beyond $\mathrm{B}_{20}$, and experimental syntheses of these snub $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ clusters through partial hydrogenation of the corresponding bare $\mathrm{B}_{3 n}$ may open up a new area of boron chemistry parallel to that of PAHCs in carbon chemistry.
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## Introduction

Boron, the prototype of electron-deficient elements in the periodic table, has multicenter two-electron (mc-2e) bonds and a rich chemistry, second only to carbon. Boron hydrides $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}(n=2-20, n<m)$ play an essential role in advancing the chemistry of boron and, more generally, the theory of how elements bond to each other [1, 2]. Small boron hydride clusters reported in the literature include $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ [3], $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}, \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}[4], \mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{+}(n=1-13)$ [5], $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$[6], $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2 n}{ }^{2+}$ dications ( $n=1-$ 4) [7], $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{n}$ neutrals ( $n=5-13,16,19,22$ ) , and, more typically, their cage-like dianions $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{n}{ }^{2-}(n=5-13)$ [1, 2, $8-10]$. A unifying "mno" rule has been proposed for polycondensed boranes [11, 12]. Detailed theoretical investigations on a series of small, hydrogen-rich boron hydride clusters with less than five boron atoms have also been reported recently [13-16]. However, little is known about the nature of the partially hydrogenated boron-rich $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ clusters that possess fewer hydrogen atoms than boron $(n>m)$. Examples of these clusters include the planar or quasi-planar $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{-}$[17, 18], $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{n}(n=1-3)$ [19], $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}$[20], $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{n}(n=$

1-6) [21, 22], $\mathrm{B}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ [23], $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{n}(n=1-6)$ [24], $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ [25]. Our group recently performed a systematic firstprinciples investigation of double-chain planar $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ neutrals ( $n=4,6,8,10,12$ ) and $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{-}$monoanions ( $n=3,5,7,9,11$ ), which were found to be the boron hydride analogs of conjugated hydrocarbons, suggesting that boron double chains (DCs) in boron hydride clusters correspond to carbon single chains (SCs) in conjugated hydrocarbons [26]. This prediction was confirmed very recently in a combined photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) and ab initio investigation [27]. The evidence accumulated so far strongly suggests that partial hydrogenation leads to planarization in small, boron-rich $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ clusters, and there is an interesting analogous relationship between planar hydroboron clusters and their hydrocarbon counterparts in dual spaces that needs to be fully explored.

Small, pure $\mathrm{B}_{n}{ }^{-}$boron clusters up to $n=21$ have been confirmed to possess planar or quasi-planar geometries in triangular motifs according to PES measurements combined with ab initio investigations [2, 13-17, 28-34], while at the infinite 2D limit, both the boron $\alpha$-sheet (which is theoretically predicted to be the most stable structure [35-37]) and the boron snub sheet (which is the second most stable and is only $0.02 \mathrm{eV} /$ atom less stable than the former [38]) are composed of hybrids of triangular and hexagonal motifs with evenly distributed hexagonal holes surrounded by extended or zigzag DCs (a boron snub sheet can be constructed from a hexagonal sheet using the snub operation [38]). The discovery that hexagonal holes act as electron acceptors and interwoven DCs act as electron donors in stable boron sheets is important [37]. Various lines of evidence indicate that it is necessary to include a suitable proportion of hexagonal holes in 2D boron sheets to avoid buckling. Interestingly, partial hydrogenation at the corner positions of $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ clusters ( $n \geq 18$ ) along their peripheries also leads to the formation of hexagonal hole(s) at the centers of the $\mathrm{B}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ clusters, as we demonstrated for the recently reported planar concentric $\pi$-aromatic species $D_{3 h}$ $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{-}, D_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{4}, C_{2 v} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}$, and $D_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}$, which are the smallest species that consist of a hybrid of triangular and hexagonal motifs with a hexagonal hole at the center [24]. This phenomenon originates from the fact that partial hydrogenation of $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ clusters at the corner positions elongates the peripheral $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond lengths and helps to create cavities in 2D boron sheets that can host hexagonal holes. A close comparison between the boron snub sheet [38] with the popular graphene structure [39] indicates that the zigzag boron DCs in the former function in a similar way to the zigzag carbon SCs in the latter. It is well known in organic chemistry that polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHC) molecules like benzene $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, naphthalene $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, anthracene $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and coronene $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ can be viewed as terminally hydrogenated fragments of graphene at corner positions. This inspired us to attempt to design polycyclic aromatic hydroboron (PAHB) clusters by partially hydrogenating fragments of boron snub
sheet at corner positions, yielding inorganic analogs of the corresponding PAHC molecules. That work is decribed in the present paper.

Based on the analyses presented above, and starting from the monocyclic species $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ that we reported previously [24], we therefore explored the possibility, via calculations performed at the ab initio level, of a new class of PAHB clusters $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$, including $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2}), \mathrm{B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}(\mathbf{3}), \mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{4} /$ 5), $\mathrm{B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{6})$, and $\mathrm{B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}(7)$, which are created by terminally hydrogenating fragments of the snub sheet at each corner position. These species were found to be inorganic analogs of the corresponding PAHC $\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ molecules naphthalene $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, phenalenyl anion $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$, anthracene/phenanthrene $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, pyrene $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and coronene $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, respectively, according to the atomic ratio $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{C}=3: 1$. Detailed canonical molecular orbital (CMO), adaptive natural density partitioning (AdNDP) [40-43], and electron localization function (ELF) [44-46] analyses indicated that these polycyclic hydroboron clusters are aromatic in nature, exhibiting both island $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-aromaticity. These snub $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ clusters expand the domain of planar boron-based clusters to a region well beyond $\mathrm{B}_{20}$ and experimental syntheses, and characterizations of these planar boron hydride species may establish a clear analogous relationship between planar hydroboron clusters and their hydrocarbon counterparts.

## Theoretical procedures

Starting from the previously reported concentric planar species $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ [24], initial PAHBs were constructed by successively adding edge-sharing $\mathrm{B}_{18}$ substructures with two neighboring $\mathrm{B}_{18}$ units sharing a $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{DC}$ rhombus (see Fig. 1 and Scheme 2). These designed PAHBs, which correspond to fragments of the snub sheet that are terminally hydrogenated at each corner position, were then fully optimized using both the hybrid density functional theoretical (DFT) method called B3LYP [47, 48] and the second-order Møller-Plesset approach (MP2) [49-53] with the $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set implemented in Gaussian 03 [54]. The two approaches produced essentially the same optimized structures (with slightly different orders of molecular orbital energies in some cases). The optimized planar or quasi-planar PAHBs without imaginary vibrational frequencies are compared with their counterpart PAHCs in Fig. 1. More extensive structure searches were performed for $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}, \mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ using a basinhopping procedure [55] compiled by Yan-Fan Zhao (alternative low-lying isomers of $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ are summarized in Figs. S 1 and S 2 of the "Electronic supplementary material," ESM). The CMOs and AdNDP [40, 41] bonding patterns of the quasi-planar dicyclic species $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ are compared with those of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ and $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively (the B3LYP functional has proven to be advantageous

Fig. 1 Optimized structures of the snub PAHB clusters $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ ( $n=6,10,13,14,16$, and 24 ; $m=6,8,9,10,10$, and 12) compared with those of the corresponding PAHC molecules $\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$, with the numbers of delocalized $\pi$ electrons indicated in parentheses

for ADNDP analyses of various inorganic and organic compounds, including boron clusters and boron hydride clusters [22-29, 40, 41]). Table 1 tabulates the ELF [44-46] bonding patterns and the corresponding bifurcation values of the perfectly planar $D_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}, C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}, C_{3 h} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$, $C_{s}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}, C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}, C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and $C_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ species, with Fig. 4 showing the ELFs of the infinite 2D snub sheet. The simulated PES spectra of $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}, C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}, C_{2}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$, and $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$monoanions are depicted in Fig. 5.

## Results and discussions

Structures and stabilities

We checked the thermodynamic and dynamic stabilities of the monocyclic species $D_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ [24], which is the boron hydride analog of benzene, first. Extensive basin-
hopping minimum searches [55] again indicate that this perfectly planar concentric $\pi$-aromatic structure with an average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond length of $r_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}} \approx 1.66 \AA$ is truly a deep minimum of the system, lying at least $51 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than all the other low-lying 2D and 3D isomers obtained [24]. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulations further show that the hexagonal framework and basic atomic connections of the $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ structure remain intact during MD simulations (Merino G, 2012, private communication). Thus, the concentric aromatic planar species $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ is both thermodynamically and dynamically stable. This beautiful hexagonal dication with ten delocalized $\pi$-electrons serves as the building block for the PAHB clusters (see Fig. 1) and the boron snub sheet explored in this work, just as the wellknown molecule benzene, with six delocalized $\pi$-electrons, is the building block of PAHC molecules and graphene.

The quasi-planar dicyclic species $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2})$, the second member of the PAHB family, which is analogous to

Fig. 2 Delocalized $\pi$-CMOs of $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{a})$ compared with those of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}(\mathbf{b})$ and $D_{2 h}$ $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}(c)$
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naphthalene $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ and has an average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond length of $r_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}} \approx 1.67 \AA$, appears to lie at least $17 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than other low-lying 2D and 3D structures obtained (see Fig. S1). It shows slight distortion from the perfectly planar $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, which lies $7.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy (see Fig. S1 in the ESM and Table 1). Extensive basin hopping minimum searches show that perfect planarity is achieved in its dicyclic dication $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2+}\left(r_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}} \approx 1.67 \AA\right)$, which is the vibrationally averaged structure of the system lying at least $49 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than the other low-lying isomers obtained (the perfectly planar $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2+}$ appears to be $0.006 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the slightly distorted quasiplanar $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2+}$ with zero-point correction included; see Fig. S2).

For PAHBs with more than two hexagonal holes, the quasi-planar tricyclic $C_{1} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}(3)$, tricyclic $C_{1}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(4)$, tricyclic $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(5)$, tetracyclic $C_{2}$ $\mathrm{B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}(6)$, and heptacyclic $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}(7)$ species appear to be analogous to phenalenyl anion $D_{3 h} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$, phenanthrene $C_{2 v} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, anthracene $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, pyrene $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and coronene $D_{6 h} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, respectively, based on a universal atomic ratio of $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{C}=3: 1$. The linear anthracene-like $C_{2}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{5})$ turns out to be only $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the saddle-shaped phenanthrene-like $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(4)$. These polycyclic PAHBs with average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond lengths of $r_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}}=1.66 \sim 1.68 \AA$ are all found to be more stable than
their typical double-ring and triple-ring tubular isomers (see examples in Fig. S3). The high stability of the zigzag DCs in these PAHBs agrees with the observation that extended boron DCs are present in the conjugated DC planar $C_{2 h}$ $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}, C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ species [26, 27]. We conclude that the formation of the zigzag boron DCs around the evenly distributed hexagonal holes helps to maintain the stability of these planar PAHBs and the boron snub sheet.

To further investigate the thermodynamic stabilities of the PAHB clusters concerned in this work, we calculated the energy differences of the most stable double-ring tubular $\mathrm{B}_{30}$ and triple-ring $\mathrm{B}_{42}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{48}$ [56] from their corresponding partially hydrogenated PAHB clusters. As shown in Scheme 1, the distorted double-ring tubular species $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left({ }^{1} A\right)$ formed by the hydrogenation of the double-ring tubular species $C_{i} \mathrm{~B}_{30}$ possesses a hydrogenation energy of $44.61 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to $\mathrm{B}_{30}\left(C_{i}\right)+4 \mathrm{H}_{2}=$ $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left(C_{2}\right)$. However, this double-ring tubular isomer lies much higher ( $102.66 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) in energy than the quasiplanar dicyclic species $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{1})$, which has a hydrogenation energy of $147.27 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to $\mathrm{B}_{30}\left(C_{i}\right)+$ $4 \mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left(C_{2}, \mathbf{1}\right)$. Similarly, tricyclic $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(5)$ and tetracyclic $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}(6)$ (which are more stable than their triple-ring tubular isomers $C_{s} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ and $C_{s} \mathrm{~B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, as indicated in Scheme 1), possess hydrogenation energies of 160.77 and $229.18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to the triple-ring

Fig. $3 \sigma$ - and $\pi$-AdNDP bonding patterns of planar $C_{2 h}$ $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (a) compared with those of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}(\mathbf{b})$ and $D_{2 h}$ $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (c), with the occupation numbers ( $O N$ ) indicated
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tubular $C_{i} \mathrm{~B}_{42}$ and $C_{8} \mathrm{~B}_{48}$ species, respectively. Given their huge hydrogenation energies with respect to the most stable multi-ring tubular $\mathrm{B}_{n}$, PAHB clusters are expected to be thermodynamically stable in the gas phase.

## CMO and AdNDP analyses

Canonical molecular orbitals provide vital information on the chemical bonding of PAHBs. For simplicity and clarity, Fig. 2a shows the nine delocalized $\pi$-CMOs of the perfectly planar $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ [which has very similar $\pi$-CMOs to the slightly distorted $\left.C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(2)\right]$, including $\operatorname{HOMO}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-2 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-3 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, HOMO-4 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ HOMO-6 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-9 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-10 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, HOMO-15( $\left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, and HOMO18( $\left.\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, which have a clear one-to-one correspondence with the CMOs of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ [HOMO( $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}$ ), HOMO-1 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-2 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$, HOMO-3 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$, HOMO-5 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-10 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-7( $\left.\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-13( $\left.\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, and HOMO-15( $\left.\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$ ] (though there is an exchange of correspondence between HOMO-7 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$ and HOMO-10 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ for $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ with respect to the MO energy levels) (see Fig. 2b). It should be mentioned that the dicyclic species $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ is not even a local minimum of the system, similar to the situation observed in monocyclic $D_{10 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}[24,29]$. Also note that
the five low-lying MOs of $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ [HOMO-6 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-9 $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-10 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, HOMO-15( $\left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, and HOMO$18\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ ] correspond exactly to the five delocalized $\pi$-CMOs of naphthalene $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ [ $\mathrm{HOMO}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-1 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$, HOMO-2 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$, HOMO-3( $\mathrm{b}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ ), and HOMO-7 $\left(\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\right)$, respectively]. Obviously, $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2})$ with 18 delocalized $\pi$ electrons formally conforms to the $4 n+2$ Huckel rule ( $n=$ 4) in the overall $\pi$-electron count, similar to both aromatic $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ with $18 \pi$-electrons $(n=4)$ and $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ with $10 \pi$-electrons ( $n=2$ ) (see Fig. 2). The $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2+}$ dication is found to have the same $\pi$-CMOs as $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ at both the MP2 and B3LYP levels of theory. Detailed CMO analyses show that tricyclic $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}(3)$, tricyclic $C_{1} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(4)$, tricyclic $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{5})$, tetracyclic $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{6})$, and heptacyclic $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}(7)$ possess $26,26,26,30$, and 42 delocalized $\pi$-electrons, respectively (see Fig. S4), formally conforming to the $4 n+2$ rule in their total numbers of $\pi$ electrons.

AdNDP analysis has proven to be an effective tool for analyzing the bonding patterns of various organic and inorganic molecules [40-43]. As an example, Fig. 3 compares the AdNDP bonding pattern of $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (a) with that of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ (b), and $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (c). $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ contains eight localized in-plane $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \sigma$-bonds along the

Table $1 \sigma$ - and $\pi$-ELF bonding patterns of $D_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}, C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}, C_{3 h} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}, C_{s} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}, C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and $C_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, with the estimated bifurcation values of $\mathrm{ELF}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathrm{ELF}_{\pi}$ and their averages $\mathrm{ELF}_{\mathrm{av}}$ indicated

|  | $\mathrm{ELF}_{\sigma}$ | $\mathrm{ELF}_{\pi}$ | $\mathrm{ELF}_{\text {av }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ |  |  | 0.70 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ |  | $0.87$ | 0.87 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { she } \\ \text { sut } \\ \text { conich } \\ 0.74 \end{gathered}$ | 0.81 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ |  |  | 0.85 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ |  | $0.83$ | 0.86 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ |  |  | 0.80 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ |  |  | 0.77 |

periphery with the occupation numbers of $\mathrm{ON}=1.92 \sim 1.95$ | e|, 32 delocalized in-plane 3 c -2e $\sigma$-bonds (one for each $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ triangle in the molecular sheet) with $\mathrm{ON}=1.63 \sim 1.97|\mathrm{e}|$, four delocalized out-of-plane 5 c -2e $\pi$-bonds, and five delocalized out-of-plane $6 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bonds with $\mathrm{ON}=1.85 \sim 1.96|\mathrm{e}|$, which together cover the whole molecular sheet. The nine delocalized $\pi$-bonds form two aromatic systems around the two hexagonal holes, which share one delocalized $6 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$ -
bond over the $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ rhombus between the two hexagonal holes. Such a bonding pattern is unique with respect to that of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ (which contains $192 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bonds and nine localized $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bonds, see Fig. 3b) and that of $D_{2 h} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (which has $112 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bonds, four localized $2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bonds, and one delocalized $6 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bond going across the $C_{2}$ bridge between the two hexagonal holes, see Fig. 3c) [40-43]. It is important to note that the $B_{30}$ sheet in


Fig. 4 ELFs of a boron snub sheet with $E L F_{a v}=0.73$
$C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ possesses only delocalized $\sigma$-bonds ( $323 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \sigma$ bonds) and $\pi$-bonds (four 5 c - $2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bonds plus five $6 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$ bonds) to overcome the electron deficiency of the system, similar to the situation observed in $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ [24]. Such evenly distributed delocalized $\sigma$-bonds and $\pi$-bonds cover the whole molecular sheet and lead to the formation of islands of both $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-aromaticity in $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, similar to the island $\sigma$ and $\pi$-aromaticities observed in $\mathrm{Li}_{6}$ [40-43], $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ [24], and other boron-rich boron hydride clusters [25-27].

The $\pi$-bonding patterns of the monocyclic $D_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}$, dicyclic $D_{2 h} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, tricyclic $C_{3 h} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$, tricyclic $C_{2 h}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and tricyclic $C_{s} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ species are shown schematically in Scheme 2.In this scheme, each circle represents a delocalized $\pi$-system (with two neighboring $\pi$-systems sharing one delocalized $\pi$-bond over the $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ rhombus region
between them). Such bonding patterns appear to be similar to the simplified $\pi$-bonding patterns of benzene, naphthalene $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, phenalenyl anion $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$, phenanthrene $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and anthracene $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, respectively, further revealing the analogous relationship between PAHBs and their corresponding PAHCs.

## ELF analyses

To assess the overall aromaticity of the PAHB clusters explored in this work, we performed detailed ELF analyses of them. ELFs reflect the probability of finding an electron or a pair of pairs in specific basins [44-46]. Based upon extensive benchmark calculations on various organic and inorganic molecules, Santos and coworkers [45] established that aromatic molecules possess average bifurcation values $\left.\left(\mathrm{ELF}_{\sigma}+\mathrm{ELF}_{\pi}\right) / 2\right]=\mathrm{ELF}_{\mathrm{av}}>0.70$ in the interval $(0,1)$. As shown in Table 1, the perfectly planar PAHB clusters (which are very similar to their fully optimized quasi-planar geometries, as shown in Fig. 1) possess bifurcation values of $\mathrm{ELF}_{\sigma}=0.55 \sim 0.89$ and $\mathrm{ELF}_{\pi}=0.73 \sim 0.87$, so that $\mathrm{ELF}_{\mathrm{av}}=$ $0.70 \sim 0.87$, indicating that all of the PAHBs explored in this work are aromatic in nature overall based on the ELF criteria, in agreement with the CMO and AdNDP analyses presented above.

Fig. 5 Simulated PES spectra of $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}^{-}(\mathbf{a}), C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$ (b), $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$(c), and $C_{1}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$(d) obtained at the B3LYP level of theory




$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{~B}_{48}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}\right)$


Scheme 1 Hydrogenation energies from the multi-ring tubular $\mathrm{B}_{30}, \mathrm{~B}_{42}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{48}$ to polycyclic snub $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}$

The ELF analyses shown in Table 1 reveal the formation of in-plane 3c-2e $\sigma$-bonds in $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ triangles which share apex atoms with three surrounding hexagonal holes, while each $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ triangle that shares an edge with one neighboring hexagonal hole possesses a $2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ ELF $\sigma$-bond along the shared edge. Such 2 c -2e $\sigma$-bonds with a significant contribution from the third apex atom in the triangle are found to be 3c-2e $\sigma$-bonds in AdNDP analyses, as indicated by the AdNDP bonding pattern of $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2})$ in Fig. 3a, in which each $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ triangle possesses a 3c2e $\sigma$-bond. A similar situation has been observed in $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ [24]. We also note that the out-of-plane ELF $\pi$-bonds of PAHBs shown in Table 1 are highly delocalized in nature, suggesting


Scheme $2 \pi$-bonding patterns of $D_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2+}, D_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}, C_{3 h} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$, $C_{s} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and $C_{2 h} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}$
that they are similar to the 18 c -2e delocalized $\pi$-bonds observed in $\mathrm{B}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{6}^{2+}(\mathbf{1})$ [24]. The central planar $\mathrm{B}_{18}$ fragment of $C_{6 h}$ $\mathrm{B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ contains typical delocalized $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-bonds of this type (see Table 1), which appear to be similar to the ELF bonding patterns of the snub sheet discussed below.

Detailed ELF analyses have also been performed for the boron snub sheet [38] using the 2D periodic condition. As shown in Fig. 4, with a bifurcation value of 0.73 (which indicates the aromatic nature of the boron snub sheet), the ELFs of the snub sheet contain a 3 c -2e $\sigma$-bond in each $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ triangle that shares three apex atoms with three surrounding hexagonal holes, while all of the other $B_{3}$ triangles contain $2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \sigma$-bonds with major contributions from the shared edges with neighboring hexagonal holes. As discussed


Scheme 3 Comparison of the AdNDP bonding patterns of snub boron (a) and graphene (b)
above, such $2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \sigma$-bonds correspond to 3 c -2e $\sigma$-bonds in the AdNDP approach. The central concentric planar $\mathrm{B}_{18}$ fragment of $C_{6 h} \mathrm{~B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ exhibits just such a $\sigma$-bonding pattern, which is surrounded by six evenly distributed hexagonal holes to simulate the periodic situation in the snub sheet (compare Table 1 and Fig. 4).

Based upon the analyses presented above, we propose the bonding pattern of the boron snub sheet and compare it with the recently reported AdNDP bonding pattern of graphene [57] in Scheme 3 below.

In this scheme, each primitive unit cell with six boron atoms possesses eight delocalized in-plane $3 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ $\sigma$-bonds along the zigzag boron DCs and one out-ofplane $18 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bond delocalized over the concentric planar $\mathrm{B}_{18}$ structural unit (which contains a concentric $B_{6}$ inner hexagon ring and a $B_{12}$ outer hexagon ring). The evenly distributed $18 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bonds are expected to render aromaticity and metallicity to the boron snub sheet. Such a bonding pattern appears to be similar to that of graphene, which possesses three in-plane $2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ $\sigma$-bonds and one out-of-plane $6 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$-bond over a hexagonal hole in each primitive unit cell with two carbon atoms [58]. The atomic ratio of $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{C}=3: 1$ between the PAHBs and their PAHC counterparts originates from the fact that PAHBs and PAHCs are hydrogenated fragments of the boron snub sheet and graphene, which contain six boron atoms and two carbon atoms in each unit cell, respectively (as indicated in Scheme 3).

## Electron detachment energies

DFT calculations show that $\mathrm{B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2}), \mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{4})$, $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(5), \mathrm{B}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{10}(6)$, and $\mathrm{B}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{12}(7)$ possess vertical ionization potentials (VIP) of $6.74,6.15,6.02,5.98$, and 5.99 eV , respectively. These values support the stability of the concerned PAHB neutrals.

We predicted the vertical detachment energies (VDEs) of the dicyclic $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}^{-}$and $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}^{-}$and tricyclic $C_{2}$ $\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$and $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$species at the lowest-lying isomers obtained. As shown in the simulated PES spectra in Fig. 5, the first VDE is 3.62, 3.67, 3.37, and 3.56 eV for $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}, C_{1}$ $\mathrm{B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$, and $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{-}$, respectively, with energy gaps ( $\Delta E_{\text {gap }}$ ) of $0.61,0.88,0.56$, and 0.41 eV between the first singlet band and the second triplet band. As $C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$has the biggest energy gap in the series, it may be the easiest monoanion to detect in future PES measurements. The VDE peaks that are close together in binding energy may overlap in PES measurements. The typical symmetric breathing vibrational frequencies at 1037, 1027, and $1049 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ calculated for $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathbf{2}), C_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(4)$, and $C_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathbf{5})$, respectively, may also be observed in their vibrationally resolved PES spectra to help characterize their PAHB monoanions.

## Summary

Based on ab inito calculations, we have proposed a new class of $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ snub PAHB clusters that are inorganic analogs of the corresponding $\mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ PAHC molecules. These PAHB clusters are all aromatic in nature overall according to the ELF criteria, and exhibit the formation of islands of both $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-aromaticity. More definitive criteria for aromaticity, such as dissected nucleus-independent chemical shifts [58, 59], may be used to further investigate the aromaticity of these polycyclic boron hydride clusters. Based upon the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Au} / \mathrm{BO}$ analogy observed in various boron compounds [17, 18, 25-34], the hydrogen atoms in $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{H}_{m}$ may be substituted with Au and BO radicals to form snub $\mathrm{B}_{3 n} \mathrm{Au}_{m}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3 n}(\mathrm{BO})_{m}$, respectively. Results reported in the recent literature [21-27] and the present work for a wide range of boron-rich hydroboron clusters strongly support the analogous relationship between hydroborons and hydrocarbons in dual spaces, and invite attempts to experimentally synthesize and characterize such clusters in the gas phase through partial hydrogenation of the corresponding $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ clusters, in order to open up a new area of boron chemistry. Accumulated experimental and theoretical evidence [2, 17, 18, 21-38] indicates that, similar to carbon, boron may also go planar to form low-dimensional nanostructures with properties analogous to their carbon counterparts.
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